1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 7 8 DAVID ROY ABBOTT, Case No. 3:14-cv-00599-MMD-WGC 9 Petitioner, **ORDER** ٧. 10 11 RENEE BAKER, et al., 12 Respondents. 13 In this habeas corpus action, brought by Nevada prisoner David Roy Abbott, the 14 respondents filed a motion to dismiss on June 25, 2015. (Dkt. no. 10.) Abbott's 15 response to the motion to dismiss was due on July 13, 2015. Abbott did not file a 16 response by that date. 17 In the interests of justice, the Court will, sua sponte, extend to August 21, 2015, 18 the time for Abbott to file and serve a response to the motion to dismiss. 19 If Abbott does not respond to the motion to dismiss by August 21, 2015, the 20 21 Court will rule on the motion to dismiss without a response from Abbott. Moreover, Abbott is cautioned that, under Local Rule 7-2(d), the failure to file a response to a 22 motion constitutes consent to the granting of the motion. 23 It is therefore ordered that the time for petitioner to file and serve a response to 24 the motion to dismiss (dkt. no. 10) is extended to, and including, August 21, 2015. 25 DATED THIS 15th day of July 2015. 26 27 28 MIRANDA M. DU

MIRANDA M. DU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE